

## Appendix – DCLG Key Indicators

### 1a: Amount of land developed for employment by type. (B1, B2, B8 for 2006 / 7)

Development Type	Area (ha.)	Floorspace ( m <sup>2</sup> )
B1 Office	16.44	85600
B1 Other	0.47	1730
B2 Industrial	7.92	28820
B8 Warehousing	13.08	48095
Total	37.91	164245
<i>Note: Extensions not included</i>		

### 1b: Amount of land developed for employment, by type, which is in development and / or regeneration areas defined in the local development framework

Development Type	ha. Developed	m <sup>2</sup> complete
B1 Office	5.00	19050
B1 Other		
B2 Industrial	2.46	8140
B8 Warehousing	5.04	22250
Total	12.49	49440
<i>Regeneration Areas: as defined in the UDP Review 2006</i>		

**1c: Percentage of 1a, by type, which is on previously developed land.**

Development Type	Total Land		Total Floorspace	
	Area (ha)	% PDL	m <sup>2</sup>	% PDL
B1 Office	16.44	22.6	85600	38.9
B1 Other	0.47	0	1730	0
B2 Industrial	7.92	92.2	28820	92.7
B8 Warehousing	13.08	86.5	48095	88.5
Total	37.91	58.9	164245	62.4

**1d: Employment land supply by type March 2007.**

Allocations		
Type	ha.	%
B1 Office	102.5	16.4
B1 Other	165.6	26.4
B2 & related	270.3	43.1
B8 & related	88.4	14.1
Total	626.7	100.0

Windfalls		
Type	ha.	%
B1 Office	51.5	41.4
B1 Other	6.9	5.5
B2 & related	9.7	7.8
B8 & related	56.4	45.3
Total	124.4	100.0

**1e: Losses of employment land in (i) development / regeneration areas and (ii) local authority area 2006/07**

Loss to	Leeds MD		Of which: Regen Areas	
	ha	No. sites	ha	No. sites
Housing	22.0	43	3.2	9
Retail/other commercial	0.7	7	0.1	2
Other	2.5	13	0.8	5
Total Loss 2006/07	25.2	63	4.0	16
2005/06	12.7	44	2.7	6
Gain from	ha	No. sites	ha	No. sites
Greenfield Sites	5.3	8	0.2	1
PDL not in empt use (2)	5.0	10	2.3	4
Total Gain 2006/07	10.3	18	2.5	5
2005/06	19.09	28	1.95	2
Net Loss (Gain) 2006/07	14.9		1.5	
Net Loss (Gain) 2005 / 06	(6.38)		0.7	

Note: Losses/Gains based on start of development

(1) Regeneration Areas: as defined in the UDP Review 2006

(2) Empt Land re-used for empt purposes: 3.6 ha on 9 sites of which 0.9 ha in Regen Areas

**1f: Amount of employment land lost to residential development 2006/07**

22.0 ha.

**2a: Housing Trajectory**

**2a (i and ii) Net additional dwellings over the previous 5 year period or since the start of the relevant development plan document period, whichever is the longer; and net additional dwellings for the current year.**

Figures are given from the start of the RSS period (1998) and for the last 5 years.

**1998-2007**

**2002-2007**

**2006-7**

	<b>Total</b>	<b>Annual aver age</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Annual aver age</b>	<b>Total</b>
New Build	20873	2319	13337	2667	2778
Conversion	3611	401	2314	463	753
Demolition	3733	415	1729	346	204
Net change	20751	2306	13922	2784	3327

**2a (iii) projected net additional dwellings up to the end of the relevant development plan document period or over a 10 year period from its adoption, whichever is the longer.**

Figures are given to 2016, the end date of current RSS and the UDP Review.

**Output 2007-16**

	<b>Total</b>	<b>Annual Average</b>
<b>Trajectory 1</b>		
New build and conversion	27121-30491	3013-3388
Demolition	3114	346
Net change	24007-27377	2667-3042

**Trajectory 2**

New build and conversion	32555-35925	3617-3992
Demolition	3114	346
Net change	29441-32811	3271-3646

**Additional indicator – 5 year supply 2002-7**

This is a by-product of Trajectory 1, showing the 5 year supply projected under the low variant in comparison with alternative measures of gross residual need.

Residual 5 year <b>need</b> 2007-12, current RSS policy	5690
5 year <b>need</b> 2007-12, S of S Proposed RSS Changes	22000
5 year <b>need</b> 2007-12, RA's suggested variant	20390
5 year <b>supply</b> 2007-12, trajectory 1, low variant	18127

**2a (iv) the annual net additional dwelling requirement**

Provisional emerging RSS figures are given as well as current adopted figures.

	<b>Net</b>	<b>Gross</b>
Adopted RSS 1998-2016	No figure	1930
RSS Review – S of S Proposed Changes 2004-8	2260	2700
RSS Review – S of S Proposed Changes 2008-26	4300	4740
RSS review – RA alternative 2004-11	2260	2700
RSS review – RA alternative 2011-26	4300	4740

**2a (v) annual average number of net additional dwellings needed to meet overall housing requirements, having regard to previous year's (sic) performance.**

A residual gross figure is given for current RSS policy, which runs to 2016. Residual net figures are given for the Secretary of State's Proposed RSS Changes and for the RA's suggested variant. Both these policies cover the period to 2026.

	<b>Total</b>	<b>Annual average</b>
Residual gross need 2007-16 @ 1930 p.a.	10246	1138
Residual net need 2007-26 under S of S Proposed RSS Changes	77035	4054
Residual net need 2007-26 under RA's suggested variant	70915	3732

**2b percentage of new and converted dwellings on previously developed land.**

	<b>2002-7</b>	<b>2006-7</b>
	93%	97%

**2c Percentage of new dwellings completed at less than 30 dwellings per hectare, 30-50 and over 50.**

Figures are given for sites completed last year and in the last 5 years.

	<b>2002-7</b>	<b>2006-7</b>
< 30 per hectare	9%	4%
30-49 per hectare	22%	22%
>= 50 per hectare	69%	74%
Average density (Dwellings per hectare)	65	73

**2d Affordable housing completions.**

Figures for Council House sales under Right to Buy legislation are also given.

	<b>2002-7</b>	<b>2006-7</b>
Affordable housing	182	61
RTB sales	1325	665

**3a: Percentage of completed non-residential development complying with car-parking standards set out in the local development framework**

No data available for Leeds, re. para. 4.4.8 of AMR

**3b: Percentage of new residential development within 30 minutes public transport time of a GP, hospital, primary and secondary school, employment and a major health centre**

<b>Criterion</b>	<b>No. dwellings</b>	<b>Percent</b>
<b>Hospital</b>	2668	74.3
<b>GP Surgery</b>	3518	97.9
<b>Primary School</b>	3590	99.1
<b>High School</b>	3508	97.7
<b>Major Health Centre (1)</b>	n/a	n/a
<b>Employment Centre (1)</b>	n/a	n/a
<b>Total Units</b>	3592	100.0
Note (1): not available		

**4a: Amount of completed retail, office and leisure development respectively. Retail A1, Office B1a and A2, Leisure D2.**

Use Class	Site Size	Completed		Comments
		Sqm Gross	Sites	
<b>A1 Retail</b>	less than 2500 sqm	4800	26	Net sqm not available
	2500 sqm or more	8800	3	Net sqm not available
Total A1 Floorspace Completed		13600	29	Net sqm not available
<b>B1a &amp; A2 Office</b>	less than 1000 sqm	5770	8	A2 not recorded
	1000 sqm or more	79830	16	A2 not recorded
Total Office Floorspace Completed		85600	24	A2 not recorded
<b>D2 Leisure</b>	less than 1000 sqm	960	1	
	1000 sqm or more	3560	2	
Total D2 Floorspace completed		4520	3	
<b>Total Completed Floorspace</b>		103720	53	

**4b: Percentage of completed retail, office and leisure development respectively in town centres**

Use Class	Sqm Completed (gross)	Sqm in Town & District Centres %	Comments
Total A1 Floorspace	13600	26.5	Net sqm not available
Total Office Floorspace	85600	24.0	A2 not recorded
Total D2 Floorspace	4520	35.4	
<b>Total Floorspace</b>	<b>103720</b>	<b>24.8</b>	

**4c: Percentage of eligible open spaces managed to green flag award standard**

No data available for Leeds, re. para. 4.5.2 of AMR

**5a: Production of primary land won aggregates**

739,841 tonnes

**5b: Production of secondary / recycled aggregates**

It is estimated that 250,000 tonnes of recycled aggregates were produced but this estimate is subject to wide margins of error (re. para. 4.6.3)

**6a: Capacity of new waste management facilities by type**

See paragraph 4.6.6 for details.

**6b: Amount of municipal waste arising, and managed by management type, and the percentage each management type represents of the waste managed**

Management Type	2006-7	% 2006-7
Green (Compost)	<b>22000</b>	6.5
Other Recycled	<b>53500</b>	15.8
<i>Total Recycled</i>	<b>75500</b>	22.4
Waste Incinerated	<b>1700</b>	0.5
Waste Landfilled	<b>260,600</b>	77.1
<b>Total</b>	<b>337800</b>	<b>100</b>

**7: Number of planning permissions granted contrary to the advice of the Environment Agency on either flood defence grounds or water quality**

Nil. See commentary in para. 4.6.17 of AMR

**8: Change in areas and populations of biodiversity importance**

(i) change in priority habitats and species (by type)

No data available for Leeds, re. para. 4.6.19 of AMR

(ii) change in areas designated for their intrinsic environmental value including sites of international, national, regional, sub-regional or local significance.

No changes in 2006-7

**9: Renewable energy capacity installed by type**

No data available for Leeds, re. para. 4.6.21 of AMR